

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD LOCAL
ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
)
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING)
COMMITTEE MEETING)
=====)

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 1997
9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM
8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO,
CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR
CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 39656

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

PAGE_NO. _____

CALL TO ORDER AND EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	8
ITEM 1: REPORT FROM DIVERSION, PLANNING ASSISTANCE DIVISION.	9 AND LOCAL
ITEM 2: REPORT ON WASTE PREVENTION ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.	18
ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA:	26
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CLOVIS, FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SRRE AND HOUSEHOLD WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ORANGE COVE, FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN JOAQUIN, FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SANGER, FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SELMA, FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SUMMARY PLAN AND THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR FRESNO COUNTY.	
ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE MULTIJURISDICTIONAL NDFE FOR UNINCORPORATED GLENN COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF ORLAND AND WILLOWS.	

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CALIPATRIA, IMPERIAL COUNTY.

ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF RANCHO PALOS VERDES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN GABRIEL, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF TORRANCE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED MONO COUNTY

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR 1995 AND 2000 PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MISSION VIEJO, ORANGE COUNTY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 22: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION
AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT,
AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE TOWN OF LOOMIS,
PLACER COUNTY

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT FOR
RIVERSIDE COUNTY

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE AMENDED NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
ELEMENT FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT
FOR THE CITY OF CORONADO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT
FOR THE CITY OF DEL MAR, SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

ITEM 27: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE NONDISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LA MESA, SAN DIEGO
COUNTY.

ITEM 28: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT
FOR THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

ITEM 30: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION
AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BUELLTON, SANTA
BARBARA COUNTY

ITEM 31: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR
THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY
OF ORANGE COVE, FRESNO COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	26
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	27

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND SOLID WASTE
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT

STAFF PRESENTATION	28
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	32
DISCUSSION	30
ACTION	32

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION FOR MEETING THE
DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
ACT OF 1989 FOR THE TOWN OF LOOMIS, PLACER COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	33
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	35
ACTION	37

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN FOR RIVERSIDE
COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	37
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	38
ACTION	39

ITEM 29: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN AND THE
COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO.

STAFF PRESENTATION	39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	44

ITEM 32: CONSIDERATION OF THE SISKIYOU COUNTY INTEGRATED
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT REGIONAL AGENCY FORMATION
AGREEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED SISKIYOU COUNTY AND THE
CITIES OF DUNSMUIR, MT. SHASTA, WEED, YREKA, MONTAGUE,
FORT JONES, ETNA, DORRIS, AND TULELAKE

STAFF PRESENTATION	45
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	50
DISCUSSION	49
ACTION	51

ITEM 34: ADJOURNMENT	51
----------------------	----

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 1997

2 9:30 A.M.

3

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
5 THE MEETING OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
6 BOARD'S LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE
7 FOR WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18TH.

8 FIRST OF ALL, LET'S CALL THE ROLL.

9 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.

11 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.

13 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.

15 DO WE HAVE ANY EX PARTES TO REPORT?

16 MEMBER GOTCH: I DON'T THINK SO.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY.

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: NOTHING OTHER THAN THIS
19 LETTER THAT IS FRESH FROM SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE'LL ENTER THAT INTO
21 THE RECORD, THEREBY RENDERING IT NO LONGER AN EX
22 PARTE COMMUNICATION.

23 BEFORE WE BEGIN, I HAVE A

24 HOUSEKEEPING NOTE. ITEM 21 WILL BE PULLED FROM
25 THE CONSENT CALENDAR, AND THAT'S NOT BECAUSE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH IT, BUT I THOUGHT IT WOULD
2 BE A GOOD IDEA FOR THE COMMITTEE TO HEAR WHAT'S
3 GOING ON WITH TWO-YEAR EXTENSIONS JUST SO WE
4 FAMILIARIZE OURSELVES WITH WHAT KIND OF PROCESS
5 THE BOARD IS FOLLOWING. SO I JUST WANTED US TO BE
6 KEPT ABREAST OF THAT.

7 THE FIRST AGENDA ITEM IS THE ORAL
8 REPORT BY LORRAINE VAN KEKERIX FOR THE DIVERSION,
9 PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.

10 MS. VAN KEKERIX: GOOD MORNING. TODAY WE
11 HAVE BEFORE US ELEMENTS FROM 29 JURISDICTIONS. IT
12 REPRESENT 6 HHWE'S -- EXCUSE ME -- 6 SRRE'S, 15
13 HHWE'S, 11 NDFE'S, 1 SITING ELEMENT, AND THREE
14 SUMMARY PLANS. WE HAVE TWO CIWMP'S THAT ARE PART
15 OF THIS.

16 WE'RE GOING -- IF THE BOARD ACCEPTS
17 THE RECOMMENDATIONS THIS MONTH, WE HAVE TWO
18 JURISDICTIONS THAT WILL HAVE COMPLETED THEIR
19 PLANNING ELEMENTS, AND THOSE ARE FRESNO COUNTY AND
20 SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

21 IN TERMS OF COMPLIANCE STATUS
22 UPDATE, AS A RESULT OF THE BOARD ACTION IN SAN
23 BERNARDINO REGARDING PLAN ADEQUACY SUBMITTALS AND
24 THE BOARD'S NEED TO HAVE COMPLETE DOCUMENTS COMING
25 IN FROM THE JURISDICTIONS, WE HAD A NUMBER OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAD COMPLIANCE DATE PRIOR TO
2 THIS COMMITTEE MEETING. AND THE MAJORITY OF THEM
3 HAVE SUPPLIED THE INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTATION TO
4 MAKE THEIR SUBMITTALS TO THE BOARD COMPLETE.
5 HOWEVER, SOME OF THE RECENTLY SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS
6 ARE NOT COMPLETE OR THE DATES ON THE COMPLIANCE
7 SCHEDULES HAVE SLIPPED.

8 STAFF WILL BE NOTIFYING THESE
9 JURISDICTIONS AS APPROPRIATE TO EITHER MAKE THEIR
10 SUBMITTALS COMPLETE OR REASONABLY REVISE THEIR
11 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES TO WITHIN THE BOARD APPROVED
12 DATE OF AUGUST OF 1997.

13 IF WE DON'T GET APPROPRIATE
14 RESPONSE, STAFF WILL BE SENDING THE HEARING
15 NOTICES PER THE BOARD'S DIRECTION AT THE APRIL
16 BOARD MEETING. THE JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE IN THIS
17 CATEGORY ARE BIG BEAR LAKE, THE CITY OF INDUSTRY,
18 MARICOPA, ADELANTO, AND POINT ARENA. WE HAD A
19 NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS THAT DID COME IN. MY
20 GUESS IS THAT WE GOT ABOUT 15 DOCUMENTS IN THIS
21 MONTH, AND THERE ARE SOME QUESTIONS ON A FEW OF
22 THEM.

23 STAFF HAVE PRODUCED THE JUNE
EDITION

24 OF "INFOCYCLING," OUR NEWSLETTER FOR LOCAL
25 JURISDICTIONS. IT'S CURRENTLY AWAITING FINAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 APPROVAL IN OUR PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE AND IS
2 EXPECTED TO BE MAILED TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES
3 LATER IN THIS MONTH.

4 STAFF HAS MADE SOME MINOR
5 MODIFICATIONS TO THE MODEL AND THE REPORT FORM,
6 AND THIS IS GOING TO BE MAILED OUT TO JURIS-
7 DICTIONS FOR THEM TO USE IN FINALIZING THEIR
8 ANNUAL REPORTS FOR 1996 THAT ARE DUE TO BE
9 SUBMITTED THIS AUGUST. AND THEY WILL BE

REPORTING

10 THEIR PROGRESS ON ACHIEVING THE DIVERSION GOAL
AND

11 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MANDATES. WE EXPECT TO GET
12 THAT OUT LATER THIS WEEK.

13 THE FIRST DRAFT OF THE LOCAL
14 ASSISTANCE PLAN WAS RELEASED FOR PUBLIC REVIEW
PER

15 THE COMMITTEE'S DIRECTION. AND THE COMMENT
PERIOD

16 IS GOING TO BE EXTENDED UNTIL THE MIDDLE OF JULY
17 SO THAT THE LEAGUE OF CITIES ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

18 COMMITTEE CAN HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW IT AND
19 DISCUSS IT.

20 AT THIS TIME WE HAVE RECEIVED A

21 HUNDRED AND SIXTEEN REQUESTS FOR REVIEW COPIES,
22 AND WE'VE SENT ALL OF THOSE OUT. AND WE'VE
23 SEEN -- WE'VE RECEIVED 14 WRITTEN COMMENTS, ALL
OF
24 WHICH HAD SOME VERY GOOD POINTS TO MAKE, AND
THOSE
25 ARE BEING INCORPORATED INTO THE PLAN.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE HAVE ALSO DISTRIBUTED COPIES OF
2 THE REPORT TO ALL THE BOARD DIVISIONS AND OFFICES
3 FOR INTERNAL REVIEW, AND WE HAD A MEETING LAST
4 WEEK TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE OF CROSS-DIVISIONAL
5 COMMENTS AND INTEGRATION OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE
6 PLAN WITH OTHER BOARD ACTIVITIES. WE ANTICIPATE
7 THAT WE WILL BRING THE REVISED PLAN TO THE
8 PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD IN AUGUST.

9 WE HAVE STAFF OUT GETTING READY
10 TODAY FOR SOME DISASTER PLAN WORKSHOPS THAT WILL
11 BE HELD, ONE TOMORROW DOWN IN OAKLAND. WE ALSO
12 HAVE ONE NEXT MONDAY IN VENTURA AND THURSDAY AFTER
13 THE BOARD MEETING OR THE SECOND DAY OF THE BOARD
14 MEETING, I GUESS, IN SANTA CLARITA.

15 WE HAD OUR FIRST TWO DISASTER PLAN
16 WORKSHOPS, THE FIRST IN SACRAMENTO ON MAY 22D AND
17 SECOND ONE ON JUNE 12TH IN THE CITY OF ORANGE.
18 AND BASED ON FEEDBACK RECEIVED, WE MODIFIED THE
19 WORKSHOP SLIGHTLY, AND WE MADE MORE OPPORTUNITIES
20 AVAILABLE FOR PARTICIPANTS TO INTERACT WITH THE
21 VARIOUS AGENCY MEMBERS AND LOCAL JURISDICTION
22 REPRESENTATIVES.

23 THE STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING ON A
24 REVISION FOR THE RURAL COOKBOOK, AND WE HOPE TO
25 HAVE NEW CASE STUDIES OR UPDATED CASE STUDIES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 STARTING TO COME AVAILABLE THIS SUMMER. SOME OF
2 THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING
3 AT ARE LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAMS TO CURB ILLEGAL
4 DUMPING, A LIST OF BOARD TOOLS AND MODELS THAT ARE
5 AVAILABLE TO LOCAL JURISDICTION, INFORMATION ON
6 PROPOSITION 218 AND WHAT VARIOUS COMMUNITIES ARE
7 DOING IN LIGHT OF PROPOSITION 218, AND INFORMATION
8 ON POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES.

9 MOVING ON TO USED OIL PROGRAMS IN
10 THE DIVISION, DURING THE PERIOD FROM MAY 15TH TO
11 JUNE 16TH OF 1997, 30 USED OIL COLLECTION CENTERS
12 WERE CERTIFIED, 48 CERTIFIED CENTERS WERE
13 RECERTIFIED, 13 CENTERS WITHDREW FROM THE PROGRAM,
14 8 CENTERS HAD CERTIFICATES WHICH EXPIRED, AND 2
15 NEW INDUSTRIAL GENERATORS WERE REGISTERED. THE
16 USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS CURRENTLY
17 TOTAL 2,896 PARTICIPANTS.

18 THE STAFF COMPLETED ABOUT 30 SITE
19 VISITS DURING THE MONTH. OIL STAFF ALSO MAILED
20 BROCHURES TO ENCOURAGE BUSINESSES TO BECOME
21 CERTIFIED USED OIL COLLECTION CENTERS. THESE WERE
22 MAILED TO AUTO RELATED BUSINESSES IN UNDERSERVED
23 AREAS OF THE STATE. THE BROCHURES WILL ALSO BE
24 SENT TO ALL NAPA AFFILIATES STATEWIDE TO MARKET
25 FOR ADDITIONAL RURAL USED OIL RECYCLING CENTERS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE OCEAN POSTER WAS REPRINTED WITH
2 THE 1-800 CLEANUP HOTLINE NUMBER AND WAS MAILED
TO
3 EACH OF THE 197 DISTRICT OFFICES OF MOTOR
VEHICLES

4 IN THE STATE FOR PUBLIC DISPLAY IN THEIR LOBBIES.
5 THIS IS IN ADDITION TO THE ONGOING ADVERTISEMENT
6 IN THE DRIVER'S HANDBOOK AS WELL AS THE LOCAL
7 IMPRINT ON THE DMV ENVELOPES. BOTH OF THOSE HAVE
8 THE NEW 800 NUMBER ON THEM.

9 AND THE FINAL ITEM THAT WE HAVE FOR
10 OUR REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE THIS MONTH WILL COME
11 FROM PAT SCHIAVO. HE'S GOING TO BE REPORTING TO
12 YOU ON THE MEETING THAT THE COMMITTEE DIRECTED
THE

13 STAFF TO SET UP WITH THE JURISDICTIONS IN LOS
14 ANGELES COUNTY REGARDING MEASUREMENT ACCURACY.

15 MR. SCHIAVO: GOOD MORNING. IN MARCH
THE

16 BOARD APPROVED A SERIES OF SOLUTION OPTIONS
17 DEALING WITH GOAL MEASUREMENT ISSUES. AND AT
THIS

18 MEETING, RECOGNIZING THAT L.A. COUNTY JURIS-
19 DICTIONS HAD A LOT OF UNIQUE PROBLEMS THAT NEEDED
20 TO BE ADDRESSED, THE BOARD STAFF FURTHER DIRECTED
21 STAFF -- OR THE BOARD DIRECTED STAFF TO FURTHER

22 WORK WITH L.A. COUNTY TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND
OF

23 ADDITIONAL SOLUTION OPTIONS OR CREATIVE
APPROACHES

24 IN DEALING WITH THIS ISSUE.

25 AS A RESULT OF BOARD DIRECTION,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 STAFF MET WITH -- FOUR DIFFERENT OCCASIONS AND
2 FOUR DIFFERENT VENUES WITH FOUR DIFFERENT GROUPS
3 OF PEOPLE TO DEAL WITH THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE
4 REGARDING L.A. COUNTY.

5 AND FINALLY, THIS CULMINATED IN A
6 FIFTH MEETING THAT WAS CONDUCTED LAST WEEK IN LOS
7 ANGELES, AND WHITTIER MORE SPECIFICALLY, TO DEAL
8 WITH THIS ISSUE. WE CONDUCTED THE MEETING WITH
9 ABOUT 15 REPRESENTATIVES OF L.A. COUNTY, THE SAN
10 DISTRICT, A COUPLE OF CONSULTANTS, AND JURIS-
11 DICTIONAL SPECIFIC REPRESENTATIVES.

12 AND THE SIX-HOUR MEETING, FOR THE
13 MOST PART, DIDN'T RESULT IN NEW SOLUTION OPTIONS
14 THAT WERE AVAILABLE. AND THE WHOLE FOCUS OF THE
15 MEETING WAS TO LOOK AT ADDITIONAL OPTIONS AS WELL
16 AS MODIFICATION OF EXISTING OPTIONS WHICH YOU
17 APPROVED. BUT THE WORD "NO ADDITIONAL OPTIONS"
18 THAT CAME FORWARD, THERE WERE OPTIONS THAT WERE
19 AVAILABLE, BUT THEY WOULD REQUIRE STATUTORY
20 MODIFICATION. AND THAT WASN'T REALLY THE INTENT
21 OF WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. IT WAS INTERESTING TO
22 HEAR THEIR COMMENTS REGARDING THAT, BUT WE CAN'T
23 DO ANYTHING IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE TO DEAL WITH
24 THE ISSUES THAT THEY ADDRESSED.

25 SO AS A RESULT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GOING TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH L.A. COUNTY
2 JURISDICTIONS. WE DID FIND OR WE HAVE FOUND THAT
3 A LOT OF THE EXISTING SOLUTION OPTIONS DO APPLY TO
4 THOSE CITIES, THAT WE NEED TO DO A LOT BETTER JOB
5 OF TRYING TO COMMUNICATE OUT WHAT THESE SOLUTION
6 OPTIONS ARE AND WORK MORE CLOSELY WITH THEM. AND
7 WE'RE GOING TO BE WILLING TO DO THAT AND HAVE A
8 LOT MORE OF A PRESENCE IN L.A. COUNTY TO DEAL WITH
9 THIS.

10 MS. VAN KEKERIX: THAT CONCLUDES THE
11 REPORT FROM THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL
12 ASSISTANCE DIVISION.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS OR
14 COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS?

15 FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO THANK
16 STAFF FOR EFFORTS TO WORK WITH THE L.A. COUNTY
17 JURISDICTIONS. THERE'S BEEN A LITTLE BIT OF
18 FRICTION OR ROUGH EDGES THERE IN TERMS OF, I
19 THINK, MISUNDERSTANDING WHAT THE BOARD'S INTENT
20 HAS BEEN. IT'S NOT TO FORECLOSE OPTIONS WHEN WE
21 ADOPTED THAT LIST, BUT I THINK THAT STAFF IS
22 CONTINUING TO WORK TO GET THAT POINT ACROSS. AND
23 APPRECIATE THE DILIGENT EFFORT BECAUSE CLEARLY
24 THAT'S WHERE THE POPULATION AND THE LION'S SHARE
25 OF THE WASTE IS, AND WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO TRY TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CREATE A COOPERATIVE ENVIRONMENT EVEN WHEN IT'S
2 NOT EASY. I DO APPRECIATE THAT.

3 WITH REGARDS TO THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE
4 PLAN, I JUST WANTED TO COMMENT THAT I'M GOING TO
5 THE LEAGUE OF CITIES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
6 COMMITTEE ON FRIDAY DOWN IN ONTARIO, AND I'M GOING
7 TO HAND OUT TO THOSE COMMITTEE MEMBERS COPIES OF
8 THE PLAN. AND THAT WAS AT YVONNE HUNTER'S
9 ENCOURAGEMENT. AND WE'RE HOPING -- I DON'T KNOW
10 THAT WE'LL NECESSARILY GET A BIG FORMAL LEAGUE
11 ANALYSIS OUT OF IT, BUT I'M HOPING THAT INDIVIDUAL
12 COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO TEND TO BE THE ACTIVISTS ON
13 THESE ISSUES FROM THE CITIES THAT ARE MOST
14 INTERESTED WILL GIVE US FEEDBACK AND THAT WE WILL
15 GET ALSO SOME FEEDBACK FROM YVONNE BEFORE THIS
16 COMES BACK BEFORE THE BOARD.

17 ALSO, ONE OF THE OTHER BOARD
18 MEMBER'S OFFICES HAS GIVEN MY OFFICE AND STAFF
19 SIGNIFICANT FEEDBACK, AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE ALL
20 THE BOARD MEMBERS' OFFICES TO ALSO AT THIS EARLY
21 STAGE TO TAKE A CLOSE LOOK AT IT AND REALIZE THAT
22 IT'S VERY MUCH IN FLUID DRAFT FORM AND OPEN TO ALL
23 KINDS OF IDEAS AND COMMENTS. SO I'D ENCOURAGE YOU
24 OR YOUR ADVISOR'S PARTICIPATION IN HELPING TO
25 DRAFT THIS THING. NOW IS THE TIME FOR US TO KIND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 OF SET THE TONE FOR WHERE WE WANT TO GO WITH IT.

2 SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
3 REPORT.

4 NEXT, AGENDA ITEM 2 IS ORAL REPORT
5 BY, I BELIEVE, JEFF HUNTS, WHO WILL BE
6 REPRESENTING THIS MORNING THE WASTE PREVENTION AND
7 MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

8 MR. HUNTS: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN,
9 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. GOT A NUMBER OF ITEMS HERE
10 RANGING FROM ONGOING EFFORTS TO CULMINATION OF
11 CERTAIN EFFORTS.

12 FIRST IS THE CIWMB AND COMPOSTING
13 COUNCIL TRAINING IS NOW A GO. AFTER SOME INITIAL
14 SETBACKS, STAFF CONFIRMED SITES FOR THREE BACKYARD
15 COMPOSTING WORKSHOPS PLANNED FOR LATER THIS YEAR,
16 THIS SUMMER. THE FOCUS OF THESE WORKSHOPS IS ON
17 STARTING NEW COMMUNITY BASED COMPOSTING PROGRAMS
18 AS WELL AS IMPROVING EXISTING ONES. SO THE TARGET
19 AUDIENCE WILL BE LOCAL GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES,
20 SUCH AS RECYCLING COORDINATORS AND SOLID WASTE
21 MANAGERS, RATHER THAN RESIDENTS, TEACHING THEM HOW
22 TO COMPOST.

23 THESE EVENTS ARE SCHEDULED FOR
24 ESCONDIDO ON JULY 10TH, AT LOS ANGELES JULY 17TH,
25 AND FRESNO ON JULY 24TH. THE BROCHURE IS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CURRENTLY IN ITS FINAL STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT;
2 INSTRUCTORS HAVE BEEN SCHEDULED. THE ESCONDIDO
3 AND LOS ANGELES WORKSHOPS ARE EXPECTED TO INCLUDE
4 HOSTED TOURS OF COMPOSTING DEMONSTRATION SITES.
5 AND AFTER REVIEW -- AFTER WE REVIEW THE SUCCESS OF
6 THESE PROGRAMS, WE'LL DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT WE
7 WANT TO PROCEED WITH MORE OF THESE PARTNERSHIPS.

8 THE WASTE PREVENTION INFORMATION
9 EXCHANGE, ITS USE IS UP SIGNIFICANTLY. SINCE
10 GOING ON LINE ALMOST 18 MONTHS AGO, THE USE OF THE
11 ON-LINE INFORMATION EXCHANGE HAS INCREASED ABOUT
12 TENFOLD. WHILE MAY'S USE WAS STILL HIGH AT ABOUT
13 400 -- 342 HITS, NOT REALLY HITS, THEY'RE ACTUAL
14 SEARCHES OF THE DATABASE, APRIL PEAKED AT OVER 450
15 SEARCHES. THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE CALLS, E-MAILS,
16 OR ORDER FORMS THAT HAVE BEEN MAILED IN. SO FAR
17 THIS YEAR THERE HAVE BEEN MORE THAN 1800 REQUESTS
18 FOR INFORMATION THROUGH THE INFO EXCHANGE.

19 THE WASTE REDUCTION AWARD PROGRAM IS
20 WRAPPING UP THE APPLICATION PERIOD FOR 1997.
21 APPROXIMATELY 73,000 PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT FLIERS
22 WERE DISTRIBUTED BY STAFF, THE CONTRACTOR, AND
23 WRAP PROMOTERS THROUGHOUT THE STATE. AS A
RESULT

24 MORE THAN 1800 APPLICATIONS HAVE BEEN
DISTRIBUTED

25 TO POTENTIAL APPLICANTS, BUSINESSES THROUGHOUT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CALIFORNIA.

2 THE APPLICATION, AS WELL AS FULL
3 PROGRAM INFORMATION, IS AVAILABLE ON THE BOARD'S
4 WEBSITE. MEANWHILE ALL 1996 WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR
5 WINNERS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE WALT DISNEY
6 COMPANY, HAVE BEEN PRESENTED WITH THEIR AWARDS.
7 AND EACH OF THOSE SEPARATE AWARD PRESENTATIONS
8 PROVIDED MEDIA OPPORTUNITIES, AND MOST WERE VERY
9 WELL DOCUMENTED BY BOTH LOCAL PRINT AND TELEVISION
10 NEWS COVERAGE.

11 WE BELIEVE THE PRESENTATION AT THE
12 DISNEY AWARD WILL TAKE PLACE AS PART OF BOARD
13 ACTIVITIES AT THE JUNE BOARD MEETING IN PASADENA.

14 ON CALMAX, WE BELIEVE EFFICIENT USE
15 OF RESOURCES BEGINS IN OUR OWN BACKYARD, AND
16 CALMAX IS LEADING THE WAY WITH MAJOR OVERHAULS TO
17 THE PROGRAM WITH PROGRAM OPERATIONS. AS REPORTED
18 TO THIS COMMITTEE A FEW MONTHS AGO, CALMAX IS
19 WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE BOARD'S INFORMATION
20 MANAGEMENT BRANCH ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW
21 DATABASE WHICH WILL STREAMLINE DATA PROCESSING AND
22 IMPROVE OUR CUSTOMER SERVICE.

23 STAFF ARE CURRENTLY FINALIZING THE
24 LAYOUT OF THE NEW QUARTERLY CATALOG BEGINNING WITH
25 THE SUMMER 1997 ISSUE USING NEWLY SECURED DESKTOP

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PUBLISHING SOFTWARE TO CONVERT THE DATABASE AND DO
2 AN ATTRACTIVE CLASSIFIEDS-TYPE PUBLICATION. AND
3 THIS IS MESHING VERY INTERESTINGLY WITH THE
4 BOARD'S CONVERSION TO A NEW COMPUTER SYSTEM,
5 PROVIDING LOTS OF CHALLENGES.

6 STAFF ARE ALSO IN THE MIDST OF THE
7 ANNUAL MAILING LIST PURGE AND ARE TAKING THIS
8 OPPORTUNITY TO DIRECT CUSTOMERS TO CALMAX ON-LINE
9 FOR FUTURE SAVINGS ON THE PRINTED CATALOG.

10 MEANWHILE, THROUGH THE CALMAX WEBSITE AND OUR
11 CLOSE RELATION WITH LOCAL MATERIALS EXCHANGES, THE
12 NUMBER OF LISTINGS IN THE CATALOG IS CONTINUING TO
13 GROW. AND TO REINFORCE THIS TREND, CALMAX STAFF
14 ATTENDED THE RECENT CRRA CONFERENCE IN MONTEREY
15 AND DELIVERED A PRESENTATION ON HOW CALMAX ON-LINE
16 CAN BECOME THEIR COMMUNITY'S OWN MINIMAX.

17 OUR BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION KITS
18 HAVE BEEN UPDATED IN AN ONGOING EFFORT TO KEEP
19 THEM VITAL. WE FONDLY REFER TO THEM AS THE BIZ
20 KITS. SEVERAL FACT SHEETS HAVE BEEN REVISED AND A
21 FEW ADDED TO FORM WHAT WE CALL THE OFFICE PAPER
22 REDUCTION CAMPAIGN. IT'S A PRECUSTOMIZED BIZ KIT
23 AIMED AT BUSINESS SETTINGS WHICH USE AND GENERATE
24 A LARGE AMOUNT OF PAPER.

25 THE REVISED MATERIALS HAVE BEEN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REVIEWED BY BOARD STAFF, INCLUDING THE DIVERSION,
2 PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION, THE WASTE
3 PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION, LEGAL
4 STAFF, AS WELL AS SEVERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WHO
5 ARE ANXIOUSLY AWAITING THE USE OF THESE MATERIALS.

6 ALL THIS INFORMATION WILL BE ON LINE
7 IN PDF FORMAT, WHICH WILL ALLOW OUR CUSTOMERS IN
8 THE OUTSIDE WORLD TO DOWNLOAD DOCUMENTS IN
9 AS-CREATED MANNER. THEY CAN THEN DISTRIBUTE THAT
10 TO BUSINESSES AND USE IT FOR THEIR OWN CAMPAIGNS.

11 A LOT OF THE TARGETING OF THIS
12 EFFORT HAS BEEN DONE USING THE UNIFORM WASTE
13 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE OUT OF THE WASTE GEN
14 GROUP. IT'S ALLOWING US TO MOST EFFICIENTLY
15 TARGET OUR EFFORTS TO THE LARGEST WASTE
16 GENERATORS.

17 ON THE ITEM ON THE SUBJECT OF
18 RPPC'S, THE CALCULATION OF THE 1996 RPPC ALL-
19 CONTAINER RECYCLING RATE IS MAKING SIGNIFICANT
20 PROGRESS. CASCADIA CONSULTING GROUP WILL BE
21 CALCULATING THE DENOMINATOR OF THE RATE WHILE THE
22 DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION WILL BE GATHERING DATA
23 TO HELP US CALCULATE THE NUMERATOR.

24 CASCADIA RECENTLY IDENTIFIED AND
25 ASSESSED THOSE NATIONAL RESIN SALES CATEGORIES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THAT COULD BE USED TO CALCULATE THE DENOMINATOR.
2 WE SENT THIS DRAFT EVALUATION TO INTERESTED
3 PARTIES FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT. COMMENTS ARE DUE
4 TO US LATER THIS MONTH ON THE 27TH. CASCADIA WILL
5 THEN CONSIDER THE COMMENTS AND CALCULATE THE
6 DENOMINATOR.

7 MEANWHILE THE DOC WILL BE CONDUCTING
8 A SURVEY OF PLASTIC PROCESSORS FOR US. THIS
9 SURVEY WILL BE USED TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF
10 RPPC'S RECYCLED DURING 1996, AND WE EXPECT DOC'S
11 WORK TO BE COMPLETED BY MIDDLE OF SEPTEMBER. AND
12 ONCE WE HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION FROM THE DOC AND
13 CALCULATE THE NUMERATOR, WE CAN THEN CALCULATE THE
14 RECYCLING RATES FOR RPPC. IF THAT'S CLEAR TO YOU,
15 THAT'S GOOD.

16 AND FINALLY, OUR FINAL ITEM HERE IS
17 WHERE EPA SHOWS US THE MONEY. STAFF RECEIVED A
18 PHONE CALL FROM BILL WILSON OF THE U.S. EPA. AND
19 WE'RE DELIGHTED TO BE NOTIFIED THAT OUR "POLLUTION
20 PREVENTION INCENTIVES FOR STATES" OR PPIS GRANT
21 PROPOSAL HAS BEEN SELECTED FOR FULL FUNDING AT
22 \$100,000.

23 THIS PROJECT WILL BE CONDUCTED IN
24 PARTNERSHIP WITH A NUMBER OF SAN FRANCISCO BAY
25 AREA LOCAL JURISDICTIONS, INCLUDING ALAMEDA

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COUNTY, ABAG, NAPA, AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES, AND
2 AT THIS POINT IS KNOWN AS THE "INTEGRATED MULTI-
3 MEDIA P2 ASSESSMENT FOR PRINTERS." P2 MEANS
4 POLLUTION PREVENTION AND MULTIMEDIA MEANS
5 ADDRESSING POLLUTION ACROSS ALL MEDIA, INCLUDING
6 AIR, WATER, AND LAND.

7 THE OFFICIAL START DATE FOR THE
8 PROJECT IS OCTOBER 1ST. BETWEEN NOW AND THEN, THE
9 STAFF WILL MEET WITH THE U.S. EPA AND THE PROGRAM
10 PARTNERS TO FINALIZE ANY CHANGES IN THE WORK PLAN
11 THAT MIGHT BE REQUESTED BY U.S. EPA.

12 THE WASTE BOARD ROLE WILL BE A
13 COORDINATION AMONG ALL PARTNERS TO CONDUCT ON-SITE
14 MULTIMEDIA ASSESSMENTS. AT THIS POINT THE
15 MAJORITY OF THE GRANT FUNDING WILL BE PASSED
16 THROUGH TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. THE MONEY WILL
17 FUND STAFF TO CONDUCT ASSESSMENTS AND PROVIDE
18 DIRECT ASSISTANCE TO THE BUSINESSES PARTICIPATING
19 IN THE PROGRAM. AND OUR HATS ARE CERTAINLY OFF TO
20 TERRI CRONIN, WHO'S BEEN PURSUING THIS. WE THANK
21 HER FOR HER PERSEVERANCE AND SUCCESS IN OBTAINING
22 THIS MONEY.

23 AND THAT CONCLUDES THE WASTE
24 PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION'S
25 REPORT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

2 DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS?

3 THANKS FOR THE UPDATE. APPRECIATE IT.

4 IF THERE'S MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO

5 ARE HERE WHO'D LIKE TO ADDRESS US ON ANY ITEMS

6 THAT ARE ON THE AGENDA, WE'D APPRECIATE YOU

7 FILLING OUT A SPEAKER FORM SO THAT WE DON'T

8 OVERLOOK THE FACT THAT YOU'D LIKE TO TALK TO US

9 AND PRESENT IT TO THE COMMITTEE'S ASSISTANT, KATHY

10 MARSH, PLEASE.

11 NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS THE

12 CONSENT AGENDA, AND THERE ARE COPIES OF THE

13 CONSENT AGENDA ON THE BACK TABLE, ALONG WITH THE

14 SPEAKER FORMS. AND WE WILL BE HAPPY TO PULL ANY

15 ITEM THAT ANY MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE OR ANY

16 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC SO REQUESTS FOR SEPARATE

17 DISCUSSION.

18 AT THIS POINT THE ITEMS THAT ARE ON

19 CONSENT ARE ITEM 4, THE SRRE AND HHWE FOR ITEM 5,

20 ITEMS 6 THROUGH 17, 19, 20, 22, THE SITING ELEMENT

21 FOR ITEM 23, ITEMS 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, AND 31.

22 I THINK I GOT THAT RIGHT.

23 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE CONSENT CALENDAR.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: CONSENT

CALENDAR HAS

25 BEEN MOVED.

25

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND IT'S BEEN

3 SECONDED. CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

4 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

5 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

6 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

7 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

8 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION

10 CARRIES.

11 THE FIRST REGULAR AGENDA ITEM IS

12 ITEM 5, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF

13 RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL

14 FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ORANGE COVE IN

15 FRESNO COUNTY.

16 MS. WILLMON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN

17 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I AM TABETHA

18 WILLMON. I'M FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

19 STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING A CONDITIONAL

20 APPROVAL OF THE CITY OF ORANGE COVE'S NONDISPOSAL

21 FACILITY ELEMENT, WHICH IS ITEM NO. 5 ON TODAY'S

22 AGENDA. THE ELEMENT DID NOT INCLUDE ANY

23 FACILITIES TO BE USED TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S

24 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT; HOWEVER,

25 THE CITY'S SRRE DESCRIBED BOTH A REGIONAL MATERIAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RECOVERY FACILITY AND A REGIONAL COMPOSTING
2 FACILITY, THAT ARE BOTH NEEDED TO ASSIST THE CITY
3 IN ACHIEVING THEIR DIVERSION GOALS.

4 THEREFORE, AS A CONDITION, STAFF ARE
5 RECOMMENDING THE NDFE BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE THE
6 MRF AND THE COMPOSTING FACILITY IN ITS FIRST
7 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD.

8 AND THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF
10 ON THIS ITEM? IF NOT, THE MOTION THAT I WOULD
11 ENTERTAIN IS TO ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO
12 ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-197, WHICH WOULD CONDITIONALLY
13 APPROVE CITY OF ORANGE COVE'S NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
14 ELEMENT AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT
15 CALENDAR.

16 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

17 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: BEEN MOVED AND
19 SECONDED. IF THERE'S NO REQUEST TO SPEAK OR ANY
20 FURTHER DISCUSSION, WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR
21 ROLL CALL. MOTION PASSES THREE ZERO. THANK YOU.

22 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 18, WHICH IS
23 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
24 ADEQUACY OF THE MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND SOLID
25 WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY REGIONAL AGENCY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AGREEMENT. THIS IS ANOTHER STEP FORWARD IN TERMS
2 OF OUR ENCOURAGEMENT OF INTERJURISDICTIONAL
3 COOPERATION AROUND THE STATE TO ACHIEVE GREATER
4 EFFICIENCY IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE
5 PROGRAMS.

6 MS. O'LEARY: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
7 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MY NAME IS SUE
8 O'LEARY, AND I'M WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
9 ASSISTANCE BAY AREA SECTION.

10 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU TODAY IS
11 CONSIDERATION OF THE MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND
12 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY REGIONAL AGENCY
13 AGREEMENT. BEFORE PRESENTING THE STAFF
14 RECOMMENDATION, I'D LIKE TO TAKE A MINUTE TO
15 PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION.

16 UNINCORPORATED MARIN COUNTY AND THE
17 CITIES OF BELVEDERE, CORTE MADERA, FAIRFAX,
18 LARKSPUR, MILL VALLEY, NOVATO, ROSS, SAN ANSELMO,
19 SAN RAFAEL, SAUSALITO, AND TIBURON ENTERED INTO A
20 JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT ON JULY 1ST, 1996.

21 THE MEMBER AGENCIES ELECTED TO FORM
22 A REGIONAL AGENCY FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE
23 COST OF REPORTING AND TRACKING, AS WELL AS SHARING
24 DIVERSION CREDIT PURSUANT TO PRC CODE SECTION
25 40970. THE NAME OF THE REGIONAL AGENCY IS THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
2 AUTHORITY.

3 PRC SECTION 40975(A) REQUIRES THAT
4 ANY AGREEMENT FORMING A REGIONAL AGENCY FOR THE
5 PURPOSE OF SHARING DIVERSION TO BE SUBMITTED TO
6 THE BOARD FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. A FINAL
7 REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE
8 BOARD FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT. BOARD STAFF AND
9 LEGAL COUNSEL REVIEWED THE AGREEMENT AND MADE
10 COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

11 BOARD COMMENTS WERE SUFFICIENTLY
12 ADDRESSED BY THE AUTHORITY IN TWO SEPARATE
13 LETTERS, ONE DATED OCTOBER 29, 1996, AND OTHER
14 APRIL 28, 1997. STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE OCTOBER
15 29, 1996, AND APRIL 28, 1997, LETTERS FROM THE
16 AUTHORITY, ALONG WITH JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT DATED
17 JULY 1, 1996, THE MULTIJURISDICTIONAL SRRE, HHWE,
18 NDFE, WHICH WERE APPROVED ON JULY 17, 1996; JULY
19 25, 1995; AND JULY 25, 1995, RESPECTIVELY, AND THE
20 COUNTY SITING ELEMENT APPROVED ON APRIL 24, 1996,
21 ALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE PARTS OF THE REGIONAL
22 AGENCY AGREEMENT.

23 UPON BOARD APPROVAL, THE COUNTYWIDE
24 SUMMARY PLAN WILL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE
25 REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT. DIVERSION PROJECTION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FOR THE REGIONAL AGENCY FOR THE YEAR 1995 IS 34.5
2 PERCENT AND FOR THE YEAR 2000 IS APPROXIMATELY
3 52.9 PERCENT, BOTH WHICH SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLY WITH
4 THE DIVERSION MANDATES.

5 IN SUMMARY, STAFF FOUND THAT THE
6 AGREEMENT FOR THE REGIONAL AGENCY SUBSTANTIALLY
7 MEETS THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS OF PRC SECTION
8 40975(A)(1-6). AND STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE
9 COMMITTEE APPROVE THE MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND
10 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY REGIONAL AGENCY
11 AGREEMENT AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD FOR
12 CONSIDERATION.

13 IN THE AUDIENCE TODAY ARE JEFF
14 RAWLS, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE AUTHORITY, AND
15 MICHAEL FROST, WHO IS THE INTEGRATED WASTE
16 MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST FOR THE AUTHORITY. THEY
17 HAVE BEEN KEY IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL
18 ADOPTION OF THE JPA AND ARE ALSO AVAILABLE TO
19 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

20 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION,
AND

21 I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I WOULD MAKE A
23 COMMENT. AND THAT IS EVEN IN A, YOU KNOW,
24 FRIENDLY PLACE LIKE MARIN COUNTY, HAVING BEEN IN
25 LOCAL GOVERNMENT, I KNOW HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GET EVERYBODY ON BOARD WITH ONE OF THESE THINGS,
2 SO I THINK CONGRATULATIONS ARE DUE TO THE
3 AUTHORITY FOR BRINGING EVERYONE TOGETHER. AND
4 REALLY WISH THE JURISDICTIONS AND THE AUTHORITY
5 WELL IN CONTINUING THE PROCESS.

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE HAD
7 A LOT OF HELP WITH ELLIOT AND SUE PUTTING THIS
8 TOGETHER.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, WE WALK THIS
10 FINE LINE BECAUSE I ALSO KNOW FROM MY EXPERIENCE
11 AT THE LOCAL LEVEL, YOU KNOW, HOW FEARSOME FORCED
12 REGIONALIZATION IS. WE'VE ALWAYS STATED OVER AND
13 OVER AGAIN THIS IS A VOLUNTARY APPROACH. IT'S ONE
14 THAT WE'RE ENCOURAGING BECAUSE IT'S CLEAR THAT
15 THERE'S REAL OPPORTUNITIES TO CREATE EFFICIENCIES
16 AND SOLVE PROBLEMS, BUT HAS TO BE AS A RESULT OF
17 THE JURISDICTIONS REALIZING THAT, NOT THE STATE
18 IMPOSING IT. SO WANT TO CLARIFY THAT. I TRY TO
19 DO THAT ON A REGULAR BASIS.

20 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

21 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I HAVE A QUESTION
23 ABOUT -- I CAN GUESS, BUT I DON'T KNOW FOR A FACT
24 WHAT THE ORIGIN OF THE NOVATO GOING ITS OWN WAY ON
25 THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT WOULD BE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MS. O'LEARY: WELL, I'LL LET JEFF OR
2 MICHAEL SPEAK TO THAT.

3 MR. RAWLS: JEFF RAWLS WITH MARIN COUNTY.
4 IT'S JUST POLITICAL. THEY'VE WANTED TO TRY DOING
5 THEIR OWN THING. AND I THINK THEIR LOGIC WAS THAT
6 FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE DAYS, THEY
7 WANTED TO HAVE SOMETHING WHERE PEOPLE DIDN'T HAVE
8 TO DRIVE ALL THE WAY TO SAN RAFAEL. HOW IT WILL
9 ALL PLAY OUT, WE DON'T KNOW AT THIS POINT. THAT'S
10 WHAT THEY'RE DOING NOW. I THINK WHAT WE'RE DOING
11 WITH THE JPA AND HAVING A -- IS BETTER, BUT IT IS
12 THEIR CHOICE.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU. IF
14 THERE'S NO OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS, I'LL
15 ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF
16 RECOMMENDATION, WHICH WOULD ADOPT RESOLUTION
17 97-214, APPROVING THE MARIN COUNTY HAZARDOUS AND
18 SOLID WASTE REGIONAL AGREEMENT AND FORWARD IT TO
19 THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR

20 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVED.

21 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: MOVED AND SECONDED.
23 WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION
24 PASSES THREE ZERO. THANKS VERY MUCH. PLEASE
25 CARRY BACK OUR CONGRATULATIONS TO YOUR MEMBER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AGENCIES.

2 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 21, WHICH IS
3 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
4 TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION FOR MEETING THE DIVERSION
5 REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
6 ACT FOR THE TOWN OF LOOMIS IN PLACER COUNTY.

7 MS. STYCKET: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
8 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MY NAME IS
9 KATHLEEN STYCKET, AND I'M FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
10 ASSISTANCE.

11 THE TOWN OF LOOMIS HAS REQUESTED THE
12 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD TO
13 CONSIDER A PETITION FOR A TWO-YEAR EXTENSION IN
14 MEETING THE 25-PERCENT DIVERSION REQUIREMENT FOR
15 1995. PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 41787.4
16 STATES THAT THE BOARD MAY GRANT A TWO-YEAR TIME
17 EXTENSION FROM THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS TO A
18 RURAL CITY, RURAL COUNTY, OR RURAL REGIONAL AGENCY
19 IF SPECIFIC CONDITIONS ARE MET.

20 THE TOWN OF LOOMIS MEETS THE RURAL
21 CITY CRITERIA TO PETITION THE BOARD FOR AN
22 EXTENSION IN MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS.
23 THE TOWN HAS A GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF SEVEN SQUARE
24 MILES AND A POPULATION DENSITY OF 850 PERSONS
PER
25 SQUARE MILE AND A 1995 WASTE GENERATION RATE OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 17.25 TONS PER DAY.

2 SPECIFIC ADVERSE ECONOMIC
CONDITIONS

3 HAVE AFFECTED THE TOWN'S ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT
4 ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS WHICH COULD HAVE INCREASED
5 SHORT-TERM DIVERSION. COUPLED WITH THE DELAYS
IN

6 THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PLACER COUNTY MATERIALS
7 RECOVERY FACILITY, LOOMIS EXPERIENCED A
DEPRESSED

8 CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT WHICH YIELDED
ABNORMALLY

9 LOW SALES TAX REVENUES, A DECLINE IN THE FRUIT
10 PACKING INDUSTRY OVER THE PAST SIX YEARS, AND
11 ELIMINATION OF SEVERAL STAFF POSITIONS.

12 THE TOWN OF LOOMIS PLANS TO
13 IMPLEMENT ALL THE PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED IN THEIR
14 SRRE; HOWEVER, SOME SHORT-TERM PROGRAMS WOULD
HAVE

15 PROVIDED A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF DIVERSION FOR
THE

16 TOWN, BUT THEY WERE DELAYED. DELAYS IN PROGRAM
17 IMPLEMENTATION WERE RELATED SPECIFICALLY TO THE
18 MATERIAL RECOVERY FACILITY THAT THE TOWN
UTILIZES.

19 THE TOWN SUBMITTED ITS DRAFT SRRE

TO

20 THE BOARD IN 1995. THE FINAL WAS SUBMITTED TO
THE

21 BOARD IN 1997. THE SRRE PROJECTS THAT THE TOWN
22 WILL MEET THE 2000 GOAL OF 50 PERCENT. THE
TOWN'S

23 1996 PROJECTIONS INDICATED DIVERSION LEVEL OF
21.9

24 AND 29.2 FOR 1997.

25 THE TOWN QUALIFIES TO PETITION THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOARD FOR AN EXTENSION IN MEETING THE DIVERSION
2 REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CONDITIONS OF PUBLIC
3 RESOURCES CODE SECTION 40183 AND 41787.4. BOARD
4 STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE PETITION FOR EXTENSION
AND

5 HAVE FOUND THAT IT MEETS THE CONDITIONS
SPECIFIED

6 IN PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 41787.4; AND,
7 THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMEND BOARD APPROVAL OF THE
8 TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION IN MEETING THE 1995
9 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT.

10 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'D BE
11 HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DO WE HAVE ANY
13 INDICATION THAT THEY MAY COME IN FOR FURTHER
14 EXTENSION FOR THE YEAR 2000 GOAL?

15 MS. STYCKET: IT DOESN'T APPEAR THAT
THAT

16 WOULD BE THE CASE RIGHT NOW. CURRENT DIVERSION
AT

17 THE FACILITY IS 17.6 PERCENT, AND THEIR
DOCUMENTS

18 PROJECT INCREASED DIVERSION IN THE MEDIUM-TERM
19 PLANNING PERIOD.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT IS AN OPTION
FOR

21 THEM --

22 MS. STYCKET: THAT IS DEFINITELY AN
23 OPTION ESPECIALLY WITH PENDING LEGISLATION THAT
24 MIGHT ALLOW THAT.
25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I HAD SORT OF MIXED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 EMOTIONS ABOUT PULLING THINGS LIKE THIS OFF THE
2 CONSENT. I HOPE IT DOESN'T GIVE MIXED MESSAGES
TO

3 STAFF BECAUSE THE COMMITTEE, AND I IN
PARTICULAR,

4 HAVE BEEN URGING THEM ON ANY KIND OF RURAL
RELIEF

5 ITEMS TO STREAMLINE THEM AND MAKE THEM AS
6 STANDARDIZED AND AS SIMPLIFIED AS POSSIBLE SO
THAT

7 WE SPEND LESS STAFF TIME AND CREATE LESS HOOPS
FOR

8 THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO JUMP THROUGH.

9 ON THE OTHER HAND, I WANT TO MAKE
10 SURE WE KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON, WE GET IN OUR
MINDS

11 CLEARLY WHAT THE CRITERIA ARE AS COMMITTEE
12 MEMBERS, AND JUST PUTTING THEM ON CONSENT AND
13 RUBBER STAMPING THEM, WE'RE NOT ILL INFORMED
ABOUT

14 EXACTLY WHAT THE STAFF'S DOING. SO THAT WAS MY
15 PURPOSE FOR ASKING THAT IT NOT GO ON CONSENT. I
16 THINK OTHERWISE IT CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE.

17 SO THE MESSAGE TO STAFF ISN'T THAT
18 THERE'S, LIKE, PROBLEMS WITH THESE. IT'S JUST
WE

19 WANT TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. I GUESS I COULD
DO
20 THAT JUST AS EASILY THROUGH THE BRIEFINGS, BUT I
21 THINK IT'S GOOD FOR US TO HEAR THE DISCUSSION AT
22 THIS POINT IN TIME. WE HAVEN'T DONE VERY MANY
OF
23 THESE TIME EXTENSIONS; AND SO ONCE THEY BECOME
24 MORE STANDARD, I THINK WE CAN PROBABLY LET THEM
GO
25 TO THE CONSENT ON A REGULAR BASIS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SO THE RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO
2 APPROVE RESOLUTION 97-202, WHICH WOULD RECOMMEND
3 APPROVAL OF THE TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION FOR THE
4 TOWN OF LOOMIS TO THE BOARD AND PLACE IT ON THE
5 BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

6 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

7 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: MOTION AND SECOND.

9 ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION? IF NOT, WE
10 WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. THANK YOU,
11 KIT. MOTION PASSES.

12 AND NEXT WE WILL GO TO ITEM 23,
13 WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
14 THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN FOR
15 RIVERSIDE COUNTY.

16 MS. VAN KEKERIX: I WILL GO AHEAD AND DO
17 THAT ITEM. THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN FOR
18 RIVERSIDE COUNTY PROVIDES AN OVERVIEW OF THE
WASTE

19 MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN RIVERSIDE COUNTY
20 AND ITS 24 CITIES. THE SUMMARY PLAN DESCRIBES
THE

21 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR COORDINATING THE COUNTY-
22 WIDE DIVERSION PROGRAMS, MARKETING STRATEGIES,
AND

23 DISPOSAL STRATEGIES.

24 THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN
25 ADEQUATELY SUMMARIZES THE INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WHICH HAVE COME BEFORE THE BOARD FROM ALL OF THE
2 CITIES; HOWEVER, THE BOARD HAS NOT ACTED UPON THE
3 CITY OF MURRIETA'S SRRE, HHWE, AND NDFE; THE CITY
4 OF HEMET'S SRRE, AND THE CITY OF BEAUMONT'S SRRE,
5 HHWE, NDFE. THEREFORE, IN KEEPING WITH THE PAST
6 PRACTICE OF THE BOARD, STAFF IS RECOMMENDING A
7 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN FOR
8 RIVERSIDE COUNTY. AND THAT CONCLUDES OUR
9 PRESENTATION.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ARE THERE ANY
11 QUESTIONS? RESOLUTION ON THIS ONE IS 97-230,
AND
12 I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE STAFF'S
13 RECOMMENDATION.

14 MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST ASK ONE QUESTION.
15 THE CITY OF MURIETTA IS A NEWLY INCORPORATED
CITY.

16 IS IT UNDER A TIME EXTENSION TO --

17 MS. VAN KEKERIX: NANCY CARROLL WILL
HAVE
18 MORE DETAILS ON THE TIME EXTENSION.

19 MEMBER FRAZEE: CITY OF MURIETTA IN
20 RIVERSIDE COUNTY IS A NEWLY INCORPORATED CITY,
SO

21 EVEN THOUGH IT HAS NOT SUBMITTED ITS --

22 MS. CARROLL: NANCY CARROLL. IT IS A

23 NEWLY INCORPORATED CITY, BUT THE 18-MONTH
DEADLINE

24 HAS ALREADY PASSED. SO THEY ACTUALLY HAVE BEEN
25 TARDY IN SUBMITTING THEIR DOCUMENTS TO US. AND
WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DID SPEAK WITH THEM, AND THEY DID PROMISE THEY'D
2 SUBMIT ALL THEIR DOCUMENTATION BY JULY, BY NEXT
3 MONTH.

4 MS. VAN KEKERIX: ONCE THE BOARD HAS
5 ACTED ON THOSE ELEMENTS, IF THE SUMMARY PLAN IS
6 STILL ACCURATE, THEN STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND AN
7 APPROVAL FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE HAVE A RESOLUTION
9 OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL 97-230, AND THE RECOMMEN-
10 DATION IS APPROVE IT AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S
11 CONSENT CALENDAR.

12 MEMBER FRAZEE: MOVE ADOPTION OF
13 RESOLUTION 97-230.

14 MEMBER GOTCH: SECOND.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE
16 PRIOR ROLL CALL, AND MOTION PASSES THREE TO ZERO.
17 THANK YOU.

18 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 29,
19 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
20 ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN AND
21 COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN
22 DIEGO.

23 MS. YOUNG: GOOD MORNING. SHARON YOUNG
24 WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE. THE
25 COMMITTEE TODAY IS CONSIDERING THE COUNTYWIDE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SUMMARY PLAN, THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, AND
2 THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
3 FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

4 AT THE TIME THE AGENDA ITEM WAS
5 WRITTEN, STAFF HAD NO RECOMMENDATION ON THE SITING
6 ELEMENT OR INTEGRATED PLAN AND WAS TO REPORT TO
7 THE COMMITTEE TODAY. THE ONE ISSUE THAT WAS
8 OUTSTANDING REGARDING THE SITING ELEMENT HAS BEEN
9 RESOLVED. AND STAFF IS PREPARED TO MAKE A
10 RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN,
11 THE SITING ELEMENT, AND THE INTEGRATED PLAN.

12 I'D LIKE GO THROUGH EACH OF THOSE
13 THREE PARTS. THE SUMMARY PLAN PROVIDES AN
14 OVERVIEW OF THE WASTE MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE
15 FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY AND ITS 18 CITIES, PROVIDES
16 AN ADEQUATE SUMMARY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
17 RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
18 ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENTS FOR THE
19 COUNTY. THE SUMMARY PLAN MEETS STATUTORY AND
20 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND THE STAFF RECOMMENDS
21 THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN
22 FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE HAVE A
24 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COUNTY, PAM CORKELIEU
25 (PHONETIC), WHO IS AVAILABLE IF THERE'S ANY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 QUESTIONS. WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY ANYTHING? ANY

2 QUESTIONS OF THE COUNTY AT THIS POINT? OKAY.

3 WELL, THEN --

4 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION

5 97-227.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHICH WOULD APPROVE

7 SAN DIEGO COUNTY SUMMARY AND SITING ELEMENT AND

8 FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

9 MEMBER GOTCH: THIS IS JUST THE SUMMARY

10 PLAN, WHICH I BELIEVE -- BUT I WILL SECOND THAT.

11 MEMBER FRAZEE: AND THEN 228 ALSO.

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DO ONE MOTION FOR

BOTH

13 OF THOSE.

14 MS. VAN KEKERIX: IF YOU WANT TO HEAR

ALL

15 OF THE PRESENTATION, YOU COULD DO IT IN ONE

MOTION

16 AT THE END, I WOULD THINK.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: LET'S JUST HOLD OFF

18 THEN.

19 MS. YOUNG: NEXT IS THE SITING ELEMENT.

20 THE SITING ELEMENT DESCRIBES THE EXISTING

DISPOSAL

21 CAPACITY IN THE COUNTY, THE DISPOSAL CAPACITY

22 NEEDED BY THE COUNTY FOR THE 15-YEAR PLANNING

23 PERIOD, AND THE SITING CRITERIA THAT WILL BE
USED

24 TO EVALUATE THE EXPANSION OF EXISTING LANDFILL
25 SITE, OR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NEW LANDFILL
SITE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE SITING ELEMENT IDENTIFIES MORE
2 THAN 15 YEARS DISPOSAL CAPACITY EVEN WITH THE
3 RECENT CLOSURE OF THE SAN MARCOS LANDFILL. IN
4 ADDITION, THE SITING ELEMENT IDENTIFIES A NUMBER
5 OF TENTATIVE RESERVATIONS FOR POTENTIAL LANDFILL
6 SITES WHICH COULD PROVIDE CAPACITY WELL BEYOND THE
7 15-YEAR REQUIREMENT.

8 BASED UPON REVIEW OF BOTH THE
9 PRELIMINARY AND THE FINAL INTEGRATED WASTE
10 MANAGEMENT DRAFT PLAN, THERE REMAINED ONE
11 UNRESOLVED ISSUE RELATIVE TO THE SITING ELEMENT,
12 AND THAT WAS ONE SECTION IN THE REGULATION THAT
13 REQUIRED THAT THE CRITERIA BE INCLUDED AS PART OF
14 THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY SITING PROCESS.

15 AS A RESULT BOARD STAFF REQUESTED
16 THE COUNTY TO CLARIFY THE SITING PROCESS AND THE
17 APPLICATION OF THE SITING CRITERIA AS DESCRIBED IN
18 FINAL SITING ELEMENT. THE COUNTY SUBMITTED TO
19 BOARD STAFF A CLARIFICATION LETTER DATED JUNE 9,
20 1997, ON THE SITING PROCESS. THE COUNTY CIRCU-
21 LATED THE LETTER TO EACH OF THE 18 CITIES IN THE
22 COUNTY TO VERIFY THAT THE PROCESS DESCRIBED IN
23 THAT LETTER WAS THE SAME PROCESS THAT WAS
24 DEVELOPED AND AGREED UPON BY THE CITIES WHEN
THEY
25 ADOPTED THE SITING ELEMENT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IN POLLING THE 18 CITIES, ALL HAVE
2 RESPONDED THAT THEY CONCUR WITH THE INFORMATION
3 CONTAINED IN THE CLARIFICATION LETTER. AND I
4 BELIEVE EACH OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAS BEEN
5 PROVIDED WITH A LETTER DATED JUNE 17TH FROM THE
6 COUNTY WITH THE TALLY OF THE CITIES' CONCURRENCE
7 AND A COVER LETTER.

8 GIVEN THAT ALL THE CITIES IN THE
9 COUNTY ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE CLARIFICATION
10 LETTER AND THE FACT THAT NO CITY HAS RAISED ANY
11 ISSUE REGARDING THE SITING CRITERIA OR THE SITING
12 PROCESS, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE
13 APPROVE THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT FOR SAN
14 DIEGO COUNTY.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I MUST SAY IT'S QUITE
16 A RELIEF GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF RANCOR BETWEEN THE
17 JURISDICTIONS IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY. IT'S GOOD TO
18 SEE THIS COMING IN CLEANLY LIKE THIS.

19 SO WE HAVE RESOLUTIONS ON THE
20 SUMMARY PLAN AND THE SITING ELEMENT.

21 MS. VAN KEKERIX: AND I BELIEVE YOU ALSO
22 HAVE A RESOLUTION ON THE CIWMP. COULD YOU GIVE
23 THEM THE RESOLUTION NUMBER, SHARON?

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: 97-253.

25 MS. YOUNG: SORRY FOR THE CONFUSION.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S THE MOTION I'LL
2 ENTERTAIN.

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: THE MOTION, THEN, IS ON
4 RESOLUTIONS 97-227, 228, AND 253.

5 MS. YOUNG: IF I COULD JUST COVER THE
6 INTEGRATED PLAN PORTION.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE KEEP TRYING TO JUMP
8 AHEAD OF YOU.

9 MS. YOUNG: TODAY ON THE CONSENT AGENDA
10 WERE THREE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENTS FROM
11 THE CITIES OF CORONADO, DEL MAR, AND SOLANA BEACH.
12 THE COMMITTEE, HAVING ACTED UPON THOSE THREE
13 ELEMENTS AND THE BOARD HAVING ACTED UPON ALL
14 SRRE'S, HHWE'S, AND NDFE'S FOR SAN DIEGO COUNTY,
15 AND UPON COMMITTEE ACTION ON THE SITING ELEMENT
16 AND SUMMARY PLAN, THE INTEGRATED PLAN FOR SAN
17 DIEGO COUNTY WOULD BE COMPLETE. IN THAT CASE
18 STAFF RECOMMENDS THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE
19 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR
20 SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. NOW WE'VE GOT
A
22 MOTION.

23 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND A SECOND AND A
25 PRIOR ROLL CALL. AND THE MOTION CARRIES. BINGO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IT'S DONE. EXCELLENT.

2 THE NEXT -- BY THE WAY, I WANTED TO
3 SAY, SHARON, I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU'RE LEAVING US.
4 I WANTED TO THANK YOU FOR ALL OF YOUR CONTRIBU-
5 TIONS TO THE BOARD OVER THESE YEARS THAT YOU'VE
6 WORKED WITH US. GOOD LUCK IN YOUR NEW PURSUITS.

7 ITEM 32 IS CONSIDERATION OF THE
8 SISKIYOU COUNTY INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
9 REGIONAL AGENCY FORMATION AGREEMENT FOR
10 UNINCORPORATED SISKIYOU COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF
11 DUNSMUIR, MT. SHASTA, WEED, YREKA, MONTAGUE, FORT
12 JONES, ETNA, DORRIS, AND TULELAKE.

13 MS. SANBORN: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN
14 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M HEIDI SANBORN WITH THE
15 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE. THE ITEM BEFORE YOU
16 TODAY IS CONSIDERATION OF THE SISKIYOU COUNTY
17 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE, AS
18 YOU SAID, UNINCORPORATED SISKIYOU COUNTY, CITIES
19 OF DUNSMUIR, MT. SHASTA, WEED, YREKA, MONTAGUE,
20 FORT JONES, ETNA, DORRIS, AND TULELAKE. THERE'S
21 NINE CITIES IN THE COUNTY.

22 BEFORE PRESENTING STAFF RECOMMENDA-
23 TION ON THE SISKIYOU INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE
24 MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT, I'D LIKE TO TAKE A MINUTE TO
25 PROVIDE YOU SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IN 1990 SISKIYOU COUNTY HIRED A
2 CONSULTANT AND FORMED A LOCAL TASK FORCE TO WORK
3 WITH COUNTY STAFF DEVELOPING A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL
4 SRRE AND HHWE FOR THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AND
5 THE NINE CITIES. IN 1991 SISKIYOU SUBMITTED A
6 PRELIMINARY SRRE AND HHWE, AND STAFF PROVIDED
7 COMMENTS ON THE ELEMENTS. THE FINAL SRRE AND HHWE
8 WERE NOT SUBMITTED UNTIL MAY OF 1996 DUE TO A
9 DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY PLANNING AND SOLID
10 WASTE STAFF AS TO WHAT CEQA WAS REQUIRED TO BE
11 DONE ON THE PLANS.

12 THE FINAL SUBMITTAL WAS COMPLETE;
13 BUT DUE TO PLANNING INADEQUACIES AND YREKA'S LOW
14 DIVERSION PROJECTIONS, BOARD STAFF INFORMED THE
15 COUNTY THAT A DISAPPROVAL WOULD BE RECOMMENDED IF
16 THE PLANS MOVED FORWARD.

17 THE COUNTY WITHDREW THE PLANS,
18 RECONVENED THE LOCAL TASK FORCE, AND HIRED A
19 CONSULTANT TO ASSIST IN REWRITING THE PLANS AND
20 DEVELOPING A NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT AND A
21 SITING ELEMENT. AFTER A FIVE-YEAR HIATUS, THE LTF
22 RECONVENED IN OCTOBER OF '96. THE LTF MEMBERS
23 SOON AGREED THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE SUBMITTAL OF
24 THE PLANS, FORMATION OF A REGIONAL AGENCY WOULD BE
25 PREFERABLE. WITHIN TWO MONTHS, USING JPA EXAMPLES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE LIBRARY,
2 COUNTY COUNSEL, AND PUBLIC WORKS, STAFF SUBMITTED
3 A DRAFT JPA TO BOARD STAFF FOR PRELIMINARY REVIEW.

4 WITH THE HELP OF THE BOARD'S LEGAL
5 STAFF, ELLIOT BLOCK, WE QUICKLY PROVIDED COMMENTS
6 ON THE JPA AND THE COUNTY INCORPORATED THEM INTO A
7 SECOND DRAFT, WHICH WE THEN REVIEWED IN MARCH.

8 WHEN THE COUNTY WAS COMFORTABLE THAT THE JPA MET
9 THE REQUIREMENTS OF A REGIONAL AGENCY UNDER PRC
10 SECTION 40970, ALL NINE CITIES IN THE COUNTY
11 APPROVED THE JPA IN APRIL AND MAY OF THIS YEAR.

12 THE AGREEMENT WAS FORMALLY SUBMITTED
13 FOR OUR REVIEW MAY 21ST AND IS BROUGHT BEFORE YOU
14 TODAY FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AS A REGIONAL AGENCY.

15 PRC SECTION 41787.1 ALLOWS RURAL
16 CITIES AND COUNTIES TO JOIN TO FORM RURAL REGIONAL
17 AGENCIES. ALL TEN SISKIYOU JURISDICTIONS MEET THE
18 DEFINITIONS OF EITHER A RURAL CITY OR RURAL COUNTY
19 AND, THEREFORE, QUALIFY TO BECOME A RURAL REGIONAL
20 AGENCY.

21 THE JPA WISHES TO BE IDENTIFIED AS
22 SUCH TO ENSURE IT QUALIFIES TO PETITION FOR
23 TWO-YEAR EXTENSIONS AS WELL AS DIVERSION AND
24 PLANNING REDUCTIONS. I EXPECT SISKIYOU TO
25 PETITION FOR A TWO-YEAR EXTENSION IN THE '95
GOAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AS WELL AS PLANNING AND DIVERSION REDUCTIONS
2 WITHIN THE NEXT TWO MONTHS BEFORE THE PLANS ARE
3 SUBMITTED AUGUST 30TH PER THEIR COMPLIANCE
4 SCHEDULE.

5 AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, SISKIYOU
6 COUNTY MAY SUBMIT ANNUAL REPORTS, DISPOSAL
7 REPORTS, AND OTHER REPORTING DATA AS ONE ENTITY
8 INSTEAD OF SUBMITTING SEPARATE REPORTS FOR EACH
9 JURISDICTION. THIS WILL FACILITATE ACCURATE
10 TRACKING AND TIMELY REPORTING OF THE QUARTERLY
11 DISPOSAL TONNAGE.

12 A REGIONAL AGENCY WILL SAVE THE
13 COUNTY TIME, MONEY, AND FRUSTRATION IN BOTH
14 GATHERING INFORMATION AND PREPARING REPORTS,
15 ALLOWING THE COUNTY TO CONCENTRATE THEIR EFFORTS
16 ON IMPLEMENTING THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.

17 IN SUMMARY, STAFF FINDS THAT THE
18 SISKIYOU JPA MEETS OUR REQUIREMENTS TO BE DEEMED A
19 REGIONAL AGENCY AND THAT THE MEMBERS MEET THE
20 DEFINITION OF RURAL CITIES AND COUNTIES AND SHALL
21 BE ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCTION IN THE DIVERSION
22 REQUIREMENTS AND TWO-YEAR EXTENSIONS, AND
23 RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE SISKIYOU
24 COUNTY INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
25 AS A REGIONAL AGENCY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I'M
2 HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE.
3 ADDITIONALLY, WE HAVE LEVITA ERICSON, WHO'S A
4 MEMBER OF THE SISKIYOU SUPERVISORS, IN THE
5 AUDIENCE TODAY. SHE MADE A TRIP FOUR HOURS EACH
6 WAY TO BE HERE.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU FOR
8 ACKNOWLEDGING HER PRESENCE. I WAS GOING TO DO
9 THAT NEXT. SO WELCOME TO OUR COMMITTEE MEETING
10 AND I APPRECIATE YOU COMING DOWN.

11 I'D JUST LIKE TO COMMENT THAT I
12 THINK SISKIYOU COUNTY KIND OF TYPIFIES THE
13 OBSTACLES THAT RURAL JURISDICTIONS FACE. NOT ONLY
14 IS IT LONG DISTANCE FROM MARKETS, BUT ALSO HAS A
15 WIDELY DISPERSED POPULATION. AND I KNOW THAT
16 BECAUSE I'VE SPENT A LOT OF TIME IN SISKIYOU
17 COUNTY, AND I HAVE RELATIVES SCATTERED ALONG
18 THE
19 KLAMATH RIVER IN VERY REMOTE LOCATIONS. SO
20 THEY
21 FACE SOME REAL UNIQUE OBSTACLES.

22 IN ADDITION TO THAT, ON A
23 SOMEWHAT
24 RELATED NOTE, I'M SURE MR. FRAZEE, CHAIRING THE
25 PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE, IS AWARE
26 OF

23 THE MANY SMALL LANDFILLS IN SISKIYOU COUNTY
THAT
24 ARE FACING SUBTITLE D REQUIREMENTS AND CLOSURE,
25 WHICH CREATES A WHOLE OTHER LAYER AND SET OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 OBSTACLES AND DIFFICULTIES FOR THIS COUNTY.

2 I THINK THAT PULLING TOGETHER --
3 COMBINATION OF THE COUNTY PULLING TOGETHER WITH
4 OUR PROVISIONS IN THE STATUTE AND OUR REGULATIONS
5 AND OUR STAFF'S WORK TO ASSIST IN SIMPLIFYING THAT
6 AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE WILL AT LEAST MAKE THE BEST OF
7 A DIFFICULT SITUATION FOR THE COUNTY. SO I THINK
8 THAT THERE'S A COMING TOGETHER HERE THAT I HOPE
9 WILL HELP TO GET SISKIYOU AND THE CITIES IN
10 SISKIYOU COUNTY AT THE LEVEL OF DIVERSION THAT IS
11 POSSIBLE BECAUSE I SENSE, AS IN MOST PLACES IN THE
12 STATE, THEY WANT TO DO WHAT THEY CAN, BUT ALSO,
13 YOU KNOW, AS A STATE AGENCY, STATE GOVERNMENT,
14 WE'RE RESPONDING IN A FLEXIBLE WAY THAT AT LEAST
15 MAKES IT POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO DEAL WITH THE
16 BUREAUCRACY AND THE REGULATIONS AND THE HEADACHES
17 INVOLVED.

18 SO DID YOU WANT TO MAKE ANY
19 COMMENTS, SUPERVISOR?

20 THE SUPERVISOR: SISKIYOU COUNTY DOES
21 REALIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PROCESS AND THE
22 PROJECT AND WANTS TO GO FORWARD WITH THIS WITH
23 YOUR BLESSING. ALSO, TO THANK HEIDI AND TO LET
24 YOU KNOW THAT HER GUIDANCE AND HER KEEPING US
ON

25 TRACK HAS BEEN A BIG HELP ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. DITTO. ECHO
2 THE COMMENTS ABOUT THE EXCELLENT STAFF WORK.

3 ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
4 FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE? IF NOT, WE HAVE
5 RESOLUTION 97-233 BEFORE US, APPROVING THE
6 REGIONAL AGENCY FORMATION AGREEMENT AND FORWARDING
7 IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

8 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

9 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
11 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
12 MOTION CARRIES. IT WILL BE ON CONSENT; SO UNLESS
13 YOU REALLY WANT TO GO TO PASADENA, YOU DON'T HAVE
14 TO TRAVEL THAT MUCH FURTHER TO THE BOARD MEETING.
15 I'M FAIRLY CONFIDENT THAT OUR COLLEAGUES WILL
16 AGREE WITH US AND APPROVE THIS AT THE BOARD
17 MEETING.

18 AND THAT COMPLETES OUR REGULAR
19 AGENDA. ARE THERE ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT MEMBERS OF
20 THE COMMITTEE OR ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WOULD
21 LIKE TO BRING BEFORE US? IF NOT, WE'LL CALL IT A
22 DAY.

23

24 (END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 10:30 A.M.)

25

